Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC	Operator ID#: 32464
Inspection Date(s): 3/11/2015, 3/12/2015 (Half)	Man Days: 1.5
Inspection Unit: LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC	
Location of Audit: Olney	
Exit Meeting Contact: Michael Wendling	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Record Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Charles Gribbins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Wendling	
Company Representative's Email Address: mwendling@usdi.us	

Headquarters Address Information:	787 Industrial Drive	
	University Park, IL 60484	
	Emergency Phone#: (618) 392-5502	
	Fax#:	
Official or Mayor's Name:	Carolyne Wass	
	Phone#: (212) 615-3446	
	Email: cwass@lspower.com	
Inspection Contact(s)	Title	Phone No.
Michael Wendling	Gas Engineer	(618) 392-5502

Gas System Operations	Status
Gas Transporter	Not Applicable
General Comment:	
They only transport gas for themselves	
Miles of Main	.6 of a mile
Confirm Operator's Potential Impact Radius Calculations	262 feet
Annual Report (Form 7100.2.1) reviewed for the year:	Satisfactory
Regulatory Reporting Records	Status
Category Comment:	

The operator did not have any incidents in 2013. There were no safety related conditions reported in 2013. There are no customers attached to the transmission line.

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 1 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

	produce a noop sitess of less than 30 % SWTS!	
[192.557][192.557]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of less than 30% SMYS?	Not Applicable
[192.555][192.555]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of 30% or more SMYS?	Not Applicable
No uprating has occurred on the transmission pipeline	e since the initial installation of the pipeline.	
Category Comment:	UPRATING	Status
The operator does not have any pipelines that operate		<b>2</b> 111
General Comment:		
[192.517(b)][192.511,192.509,192.513]	Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig?	Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator has not installed any additional piping si	ince the initial installation of the pipeline.	
	operating above 100 psig?	Not Applicable
	2.511(c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping	Status
The Anti-Drug and Alcohol inspection to be conducted		
General Comment:		
Refer to Drug and Alcohol Inspection Form	s and Protocols	Not Checked
	DRUG TESTING	Status
[192.16(c)]	Customer Notification: Has the operator notified each customer after the customer first receives gas at a particular location?	Not Applicable
[191.25]	Did the Operator file a Safety Related Condition Report within 5 working days of determination, but not later than 10 working days after discovery?	Not Applicable
[191.23(a)]	Did the operator report Safety Related Conditions?	Not Applicable
[191.15(b)]	Were there any supplemental incident reports when deemed necessary?	Not Applicable
[191.15(a)]	Was a DOT Incident Report Form F7100.2 submitted within 30 days after detection of an incident?	Not Applicable
[191.5]	Were Telephonic Notices of Incidents reported to the NRC (800-424-8802)?	Not Applicable

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 2 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.603(b)][192.605(a)]	Has the operator conducted a review of the Operations and Maintenance Manual once per yr/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The annual review was conducted 1/7/2014 see P	reface, Manual Revisions of the Operations and Maintenance Manual.	
Has the operator conducted a review of	the Operator Qualification Plan once per yr/15 months?	Yes
General Comment:		
Utility Safety and Design's OQ plan was last review	wed on April 2014, they provide all work on the transmission line.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(3)]	Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to operating personnel?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
USDI maintains all construction records, maps, an	d operating history for LSP University Park, LLC.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(8)]	Has the operator periodically reviewed personnel's work to determine the effectiveness of normal O&M procedures when deficiencies are found?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the O&M Manual and noted that the section.	e operator made revision when required the revisions can be found in the O&M Manual	under Manual Revision
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(i)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for	
	responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?	Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?	Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?	Not Applicable  Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?  of valves or shutdowns.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside	
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure  [192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(ii)]  General Comment:	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?  of valves or shutdowns.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside	
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure  [192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(ii)]  General Comment:  The operator did not have any increase or decrease	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?  of valves or shutdowns.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside normal operating limits?	
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure  [192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(ii)]  General Comment:  The operator did not have any increase or decrease	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?  of valves or shutdowns.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside normal operating limits?  See in pressure or flow rates outside of normal operating limits.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of	Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator did not have any unintended closure  [192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(ii)]  General Comment:  The operator did not have any increase or decrease  [192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(iii)]	unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?  Of valves or shutdowns.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside normal operating limits?  See in pressure or flow rates outside of normal operating limits.  Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of loss of communications?	Not Applicable

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015

Page 3 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

The operator did not have any indications of the operati	ions of any safety devices.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(v)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of any other foreseeable malfunction of a component, deviation from normal operation, or personnel error which may result in a hazard to persons or property?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator indicated they did not have any malfunction	on of a component or any deviations from normal operations or personnel error.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(2)]	Does the operator maintain documentation of checking variations from normal operation after abnormal operation has ended at sufficient critical locations in the system to determine continued integrity and safe operation?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated they did not have any abnormal	operations.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(3)]	Does the operator maintain documentation of notifying responsible operator personnel when notice of an abnormal operation is received?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated they did not have any abnormal	operations.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(4)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for periodically reviewing the response of operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the procedures controlling abnormal operation and taking corrective action where deficiencies are found?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated they did not have any abnormal	operations.	
[192.603(b)][192.619,192.621,192.623]	Is the operator maintaining documentation verifying their Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure(s)? (MAOP)	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
This information is contained in the operators O&M Mar	nual under Section 3. 3.2 System Design Parameters page 2	
CONTINUING	S SURVEILLANCE RECORDS	Status
[192.709(c)][192.613(a)]	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes, failures, leak history, corrosion, changes in cathodic protection, and other unusual operating and maintenance conditions?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the following documents for continuing s Continuing Surveillance Report form-605 for the years of	urveillance: of 2014, 2013, and 2012	

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015

Page 4 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

CLA	ASS LOCATION CHANGE	Status
[192.709(c)][192.609]	Does the operator maintain documentation when the class location changes for a segment of pipe operating at a hoop stress that is more than 40% SMYS?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
There has not been any class location changes on	the segment of pipe for LSP University Park. The pipeline does operate at 49% of SM	YS
QUALIFICA	TION OF PIPELINE PERSONNEL	Status
Refer to operator Qualification Inspection	Forms and Protocols	Not Checked
General Comment:		
The Operator Qualification inspection to be conduc	ted at a later date	
DAMA	GE PREVENTION RECORDS	Status
[192.709(c)][191.17(a)]	Did the operator track the number of damages per 1000 locate requests for the previous years?	Satisfactory
Has the number of damages increased of	r decreased from prior year?	There have not been any reported damages
[192.709(c)][192.617]	Does the operator track records of accidents due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failures are addressed to minimize the recurrence?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
There ha ve not been any reported damages due to	o excavation on the pipeline.	
[192.709(c)][192.614(c)(3)]	Does the operator provide documentation pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one call system?	Satisfactory
Does the operator have a Quality Assura facilities?	nce Program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does not have a quality assurance pr	ogram in place, but USDI does a follow-up on JULIE Locates.	
Do pipeline operators include performand	ce measures in facility locating contracts?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does not have a quality assurance pr	ogram in place, but USDI does a follow-up on JULIE Locates.	
[IL ADM. CO.265.100(b)(1)]	Was third party damage to mains involving a release of gas reported to ICC JULIE Enforcement? http://www.icc.illinois.gov/julie/	Not Applicable
General Comment:		

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 5 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Han the Operator adopted applicable of	action of the Common Cround Alliance Reat Practices?	
	ection of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices?	Yes
If no, were Common Ground Alliance B	est Practices discussed with Operator?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
USDI does incorporate some of Common Ground	d Alliance Best Practices into the O&M Manual.	
	EMERGENCY PLANS	Status
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(1)]	Are supervisors, responsible for emergency action, furnished copies of the latest edition of the Emergency Plan?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
In emergency situations, the direction and coordi University Park, LLC's designee The Operator has a copy of the Emergency Plan.	nation of the overall emergency response will be the responsibility of the Utility Safety & I	Design, Inc., LSP
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(2)]	Has the operator maintained documentation that the appropriate operating personnel have received training to assure they are knowledgeable of emergency procedures and that the training was effective?	Satisfactory
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(3)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?	Satisfactory
[192.603(b)][192.615(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials?	Unsatisfactory
General Comment:		
Documentation was not provided that a liaison m	eeting was conducted with the appropriate emergency officials in 2014 for LSP University	/ Park pipeline facility.
NOPV Comment:		
Documentation was not provided that a liaison m	eeting was conducted with the appropriate emergency officials in 2014 for LSP University	/ Park pipeline facility.
[192.603(b)][192.615(a)(3)]	Did the review of emergency response time intervals regarding odor/leak complaint documentation indicate adequate emergency response intervals were achieved?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The transmission line is unodorized and no leaks	have been indicated on the system.	
	Has the operator maintained documentation of actions	
[192.603(b)][192.615(a)(11)]	that were required to be taken by a controller during and emergency?	Not Applicable

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 6 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

PUBLIC A	WARENESS PROGRAM - RECORDS	Status
Refer to Public Awareness Program In	spection Forms and Protocols	Yes
General Comment:		
The Public Awareness Program was last audited	d in 2013.	
	ODORIZATION OF GAS	Status
Category Comment:		
It appears that more than 50 percent of the leng	th of the line downstream from that location is in a Class 1 or Class 2 location;	
[192.709(c)][192.625(f)]	Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.625(e)]	Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels?	Not Applicable
PATR	OLLING & LEAKAGE SURVEY	Status
[192.709(c)][192.705]	Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?	Satisfactory
[192.709(c)][192.706]	Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator conducted 100% of the jurisdiction	nal piping, from ANR take point on Hamilton Avenue to termination point at LSP University	Park North Plant.
ABANDONMENT or	DEACTIVATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES	Status
Category Comment:		
	any pipelines since the transmission line was installed. nat crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway.	
[192.603(b)][192.727(b)]	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each pipeline abandoned in place was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas, and purged of gas?	Not Applicable
[192.603(b)][192.727(c)]	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each inactive pipeline that is not being maintained under this part was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas; purged of gas?	Not Applicable
[192.603(b)][192.727(e)]	Did the operator maintain documentation when air was used for purging that a combustible mixture was not present after purging?	Not Applicable
[192.727(g)][192.727(g)]	Did the operator maintain documentation for each abandoned onshore pipeline facility that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?	Not Applicable

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 7 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

COMPRE	SSOR STATION	Status
Category Comment:		
The transmission system does not contain any compressor stat	tions.	
[192.709(c)][192.731(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor station relief devices at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.731(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation compressor station emergency shutdown at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.736(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor stations – detection and alarms?	Not Applicable
PRESSURE LIMIT	ING AND REGULATION	Status
Category Comment:		
The transmission line operates at the same MOAP of ANR Tran	nsmission Company	
[192.709(c)][192.739(a)]	Is the operator inspecting and testing the pressure limiting and regulating stations at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(a)]	Is the operator inspecting pressure limiting and regulating stations for adequate capacity at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(b)]	If the operator used calculations to determine sufficient capacity, were the calculation reviews documented at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(a),192.743(b),192.195(b)(2)]	Is overpressure protection provided by the supplier pipeline downstream of the take point?	No
General Comment:		
The transmission line operates at the same MOAP of ANR Tran	nsmission Company.	
[192.709(c)][192.743(a)]	If Yes, does the operator have documentation to verify that these devices have adequate capacity?	Not Applicable
VALVE N	MAINTENANCE	Status
Category Comment:		
The valve was inspected as required by this section. The valve is located inside the ANR Pipeline Interconnect fence	ed area.	
[192.709(c)][192.745(a),192.745(b)]	Did the operator inspect and partially operate transmission valves that might be required during any emergency at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
[192.709(c)][192.749]	Did the operator inspect and maintain vaults > 200 cubic feet at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 8 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
There are no vaults on the transmission line.		
[192.709(c)][192.179]	Are transmission line valves being installed as required of 192.179?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No new transmission line valves were required to b	e installed at this time.	
[192.709(b)][192.745(b)]	Did the operator take prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable, unless an alternative valve was designated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
No remedial action was required the valve operated	d as required.	
In	vestigation Of Failures	Status
[192.709(c)][192.617]	Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any accidents or fa	ailures that would require analysis.	
W	ELDING OF STEEL PIPE	Status
[192.603(b)][192.225(b)]	Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The welding procedures are found in the operators	O&M Manual under section 14-Pipeline Construction Plan sub section 14.3 Welding	
[192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]	Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification documentation as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Jan X provided documentation for the welder dated	13-5-2015	
[192.807]	Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?	Satisfactory
[192.709][192.243(b)(2)]	Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator has not conducted any NDT testing a	t this time, no welding has been performed on the pipeline.	
[192.709][192.243(f)]	Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015

Page 9 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system  [192.491][192.459]	CORF	ROSION CONTROL RECORDS	Status
examination when buried pipe was exposed?    Not Applie	[192.491(a)][192.491(a)]	cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures	Satisfactory
No piping was exposed during annual maintenance during 2014 or 2013.   The standard comment:   Satisfactor	[192.491][192.459]		Not Applicable
Has the operator maintained documentation of annual pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet at a minimum of 10% annually?  General Comment:  The cathodic protection was conducted on May 2014 and May 2013 all the readings are at or above the85 volt criteria.  Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?  General Comment:  There are no rectifiers or other impressed current power sources.  [192.491][192.465(c)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?  General Comment:  There are  [192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  Not Applic  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	General Comment:		
pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet at a minimum of 10% annually?  General Comment:  The cathodic protection was conducted on May 2014 and May 2013 all the readings are at or above the85 volt criteria.  [192.491][192.465(b)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?  General Comment:  There are no rectifiers or other impressed current power sources.  [192.491][192.465(c)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?  General Comment:  There are  [192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  Mot Applic  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	No piping was exposed during annual maintenan	ce during 2014 or 2013.	
The cathodic protection was conducted on May 2014 and May 2013 all the readings are at or above the85 volt criteria.  [192.491][192.465(b)]	[192.491][192.465(a)]	pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of	Satisfactory
[192.491][192.465(b)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?  General Comment:  There are no rectifiers or other impressed current power sources.  [192.491][192.465(c)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?  General Comment:  There are  [192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applications and protections are a minimum of 3 years/39 months?	General Comment:		
deneral Comment:  There are no rectifiers or other impressed current power sources.  [192.491][192.465(c)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months; and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?  General Comment:  There are  [192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Application in the protection in	The cathodic protection was conducted on May 2	014 and May 2013 all the readings are at or above the85 volt criteria.	
There are no rectifiers or other impressed current power sources.  [192.491][192.465(c)]	[192.491][192.465(b)]	other impressed current power sources inspections at a	Not Applicable
[192.491][192.465(c)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?    Seneral Comment: There are	General Comment:	·	
critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?  General Comment:  There are  [192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	There are no rectifiers or other impressed current	t power sources.	
[192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  **General Comment:**  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	[192.491][192.465(c)]	critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a	Not Applicable
[192.491][192.465(d)]  Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  **There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.**  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Application **Not Application**	General Comment:		
Correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?  General Comment:  There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	There are		
There were no down readings indicated during the review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.  [192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	[192.491][192.465(d)]		Not Applicable
[192.491][192.465(e)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?  Not Applic	General Comment:		
unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?	There were no down readings indicated during th	e review of cathodic protection records, so no remedial action was required.	
Consul Comment:	[192.491][192.465(e)]	unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a	Not Applicable
General Comment:	General Comment:	·	

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 10 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.491][192.467(a),192.467(c),192.467(d)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests for electrical isolation including casings?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The transmission pipe line does not contain any casings.		
[192.491][192.469]	Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
There are 13 test points on .6 miles of transmission main.		
[192.491][192.471]	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated that there were no problems with any	test leads on the transmission pipeline.	
[192.491][192.473(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
There are no other underground structures in the area of the	transmission pipeline.	
[192.491][192.475(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated the only transport pipeline quality gas	S.	
[192.491][192.475(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated that no section of piping has been real	move as of this date.	
[192.491]	Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff was provided drawings of the Proposed 12" Pipeline Co	onstellation Power, Will County, Illinois	

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 11 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.491][192.477]	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator indicated that they have not used any interna	al corrosion coupon for monitoring, the pipeline only transports pipeline quality g	as.
[192.491][192.479]	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective action where atmospheric corrosion was discovered?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The atmospheric corrosion survey did not indicate any con	rosion problems.	
[192.491][192.481]	Has the operator maintained documentation of atmospheric corrosion control monitoring at a minimum of 1 per 3 years/39 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection Report - Form 505 Last	inspection performed 7/23/2012	
[192.491][192.483(a),192.483(b),192.483(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that pipe removed due to external corrosion has been repaired or replaced with pipe that was coated and cathodically protected?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
At this time no piping has been removed due to external co	orrosion.	
TRAINING - 83 IL ADM. CODE 520		Status
[520.10(a) (1)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Satisfactory
[520.10(a) (2)]	Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator has same qualifications		
[520.10(b)]	Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is not a municipal operator.		
[520.10(a)(5)]	Are procedures periodically updated to include new materials, new methods of operation and installation, and changes in general procedures?	Satisfactory

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 12 of 13

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

LSP UNIVERSITY PARK LLC/3-12-2015 Page 13 of 13